UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/848,824	05/19/2004	Yaron Kranz	62976(52398)	4777
21874 7590 02/26/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 POSITION MA 20205			EXAMINER	
			SCHWARTZ, JORDAN MARC	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/848,824 KRANZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jordan M. Schwartz 2873 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Steven Jensen. Date of Interview: 19 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 34. Identification of prior art discussed: Sato et al patent 5,526,089. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed changes to claims 1 and 34 as per applicant's draft proposed amendment would apparently overcome the Sato reference. However, the other references cited in the final rejection were not reviewed with respect to this proposed amendment and would require further consideration . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Jordan M. Schwartz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required